



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,186	06/16/2005	Bruno Egner-Walter	VAL 206 P2	2308
34232	7590	09/02/2009	EXAMINER	
MATTHEW R. JENKINS, ESQ.			GRAHAM, GARY K	
2310 FAR HILLS BUILDING				
DAYTON, OH 45419			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/539,186	EGNER-WALTER, BRUNO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary K. Graham	3727	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 May 2009.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3-7,11-18,22,24-26 and 28 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1,3-7,11-18,22,24-26 and 28 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Upon review and search, particular language discussed in the 18 May 2009 interview does not appear to define over the Neag reference. An action follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7, 12 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, line 3, defining a “driver-side crank” again appears duplicative and improper since such has been set forth in claim 1. Reference to —the driver-side crank--- would appear appropriate.

In claim 12, lines 1-4 appear duplicative. Applicant has again defined the crank as driving a wiper shaft and has again defined the crank as oriented in a direction towards the vehicle center. Such has already been set forth in claim 1.

In claim 22, line 10, reference to “aid free end” appears confusing. It appears “aid” should be ---said---

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Neag et al (US patent 6,536,069).

The patent to Neag discloses the invention as is claimed. Neag discloses a wiper system (10, figs.1-3) which drives a first, driver side wiper blade (88) on wiper arm (80) and a second, passenger side wiper blade (86) on wiper arm (82) across a windshield (28) of a vehicle. The system includes a drive unit having a motor (50) and a reduction unit (52) for rotating driver side wiper shaft (60) and passenger side wiper shaft (62) via linkage (20, 72,68). The driver side wiper shaft and the passenger side wiper shaft support the driver side wiper arm and passenger side wiper arm respectively. The driver side wiper shaft is mounted in bearing (30) and the passenger side wiper shaft is mounted in bearing (32) for pivotal movement. Figures 1-3 show a driver side frame (A column as far as defined) and a passenger side frame on each side of the windshield (illustrated as a dashed line border). The driver side blade is shown as being generally parallel to the drive frame in an upper position (fig.3). A driver side crank (64) is oriented towards a center of the vehicle in each of its positions and between the first and second bearings. The driver side crank has an end fixed to the driver side wiper shaft (60) and a free end. A line of projection extending from the shaft (60) outward through the free end of the driver side crank is always oriented towards a vehicle center. Note figures 1-3 which show the range of crank (64), all being oriented towards the vehicle center.

Drive rod (20) of the linkage couples the motor to the bearings. The driver side crank and the drive rod are “situated” generally between the bearings at all times.

With respect to claims 3, 13, 16 and 25, since the vehicle can travel in any direction, nothing would prohibit such, the motor of Neag is considered to be in “front” of the wiper bearings, at least as far as defined.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6, 11-18, 22, 24-26 and 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K. Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica S. Carter can be reached on 571-272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gary K Graham/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727

GKG
30 August 2009